

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/995,077	11/26/2001	Frederick Michael Mako	MAKO-8 CONT III	1512
759	90 05/06/2003	•		
Ansel M. Schw	vartz	EXAMINER		
Suite 304 201 N. Craig Str	reet		LEE, BENNY T	
Pittsburgh, PA	15213		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
11 2006 25			2817	
31			DATE MAILED: 05/06/2003	
11 2000 E				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Tr mark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

		_		***************************************	U.I. D.U. COLUI		
SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED APPLICANT			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO	
			•	•			
(IPF)	•	· ·					
4	Ž.			7	EXAMINER		
2006)			•			
Z SEP I I ISSU	<i>\\\\</i>				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SEP 1 1 2000	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					. 7	
					DATE MAILED:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

	·
This application has been examined Responsive to communication filed on 19 Feb 2003	This action is made final.
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire the Condition of the Sandath of the S	m the date of this letter.
Part i THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION: 1. Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892. 2. Notice re Patent Oraw 3. Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449 4. Notice of Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474 6.	ing, PTO-948. ent Application, Form PTO-152
Part II SUMMARY OF ACTION	.•
1. Claims	are pending in the application.
Of the above, claims	are withdrawn from consideration.
2. Claims	have been cancelled.
3.: Claims	are allowed.
4. 🗹 Cialms 1 - 8 ' 9	are rejected.
J /	are objected to.
6. Ciaimsare subject to	o restriction or election requirement.
This application has been filed with informal drawings which are acceptable for examination purpos matter is indicated.	ses until such time as allowable subject
3. Allowable subject matter having been indicated, formal drawings are required in response to this Di	ffice action.
The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on These drawing not acceptable (see explanation). These drawings have been received on	wings are. acceptable;
10. The proposed drawing correction and/or the proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of do has (have) been approved by the examiner. disapproved by the examiner (see explanation)	
11. The proposed drawing correction, flied, has been approved d the Patent and Trademark Office no ionger makes drawing changes. It is now applicant's responsib corrected. Corrections <u>MUST</u> be effected in accordance with the instructions set forth on the attac EFFECT ORAWING CHANGES", PTO-1474.	ility to ensure that the drawings are
12. Acknowledgment is made of the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has	been received not been received
been filed in parent application, serial no; filed on;	
13. Since this application appears to be in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.O. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	
14. Other	
PTOL-326 (Rev. 7 · 82) EXAMINER'S ACTION	

SN 995077

Art Unit: 2817



Part III DETAILED ACTION

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: Page 3, line 29 & page 11, line 8, note that it remains unclear whether "rhombohedrion" is the correct spelling. Page 32, line 31, note that "FMTSEC" remains vague in meaning. Page 45, lines 1, 2, note that "dicotron instability" remains vague in meaning. In general, applicants' should review and, where necessary, revise the specification to ensure that all elements labeled in the drawing figures are correspondingly described in the specification. Appropriate correction is required.

The drawings are objected to because reference labels should be provided for those figures depicting schematic aspects of the invention. In general, the drawing figures should contain reference labels correspondingly described in the specification. Correction is required.

The drawings are objected to under 37 CAR § 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the circular or rhombohedron shape screens respectively must be shown or the feature canceled from the claim. No new matter should be entered.

Applicants' are required to address this objection in the next response.

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Art Unit: 2817

With respect to claims 1 & 9, there does not appear to be any support in the original specification for the limitation of "N is an integer greater than or equal to one". With respect to claim 1, there does not appear to be support in the original specification that the claimed expression is raised to the "N" power as recited in the claim. Note from the specification that the corresponding expression is raised to the "t0/2t1" power as disclosed at the bottom of page 13. Furthermore, there is no apparent disclosure of the equivalence of t1. "N" which is claimed. Accordingly, these limitations have been treated as "new matter" absent any explanation of why they should not be considered "new matter".

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, it remains unclear in what manner does the "force" (i.e. a non-physical characteristic) "encompasses" the emitting surface and section (i.e. a physical feature).

Moreover, the nature of the electron flow between the emitting surface & the section still needs to be clarified. If unidirectional electron flow is what is intended, then it should be clearly claimed. If some other mechanism of electron flow is intended, then such mechanism should be clearly claimed. Even in light of the specification & applicants' comments, this point remains unclear. Moreover, it is unclear the relationship between the parameters "Nr" and "N" (i.e. the same, different, etc). Clarification is needed.

Art Unit: 2817

In claim 4, note that it is unclear how the "force" (recited herein) is related to the earlier recited instance of "force". For example, is it related to the "oscillating force" recited in claim 1 or to the "force" recited in claim 2, since this claim indirectly depends from both claims? Accordingly, should --oscillating-- precede "force" in line 3? Clarification is needed.

In claim 8, it remains unclear which screen is intended by the recited "screen".

Applicants' comments indicate that "one or any specific number of screens" is intended. If such is the case, then the claim should be amended to reflect such a situation.

In claim 9, last paragraph, note that reference to "section" is vague in meaning. Clarification is needed.

The claims has been found objectionable for reasons set forth below:

In claim 1, second paragraph, note that --electron-- should precede "emitting" & "a transmitting" should be rephrased as --an electron transmitting--; last paragraph, note that --transmitting and emitting-- should precede appropriate occurrences of "section".

Claims 1-9 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CAR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

Art Unit: 2817

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until

after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory

period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant

to 37 CAR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no

event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the

date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Benny Lee at

telephone number (703) 308 4902.

ART UNIT 2817